

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/699,556	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SELIG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Julie Lieu	<b>Art Unit</b> 2636	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

 (1) Julie Lieu.

(3) \_\_\_\_

(2) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 October 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

*White and Chitalia.*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The rejection was based on Whittle but Chitalia's patent number and reference was provided instead of Whille. The examiner agrees to remail the office action and restart time response period.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)